



Computational Visualization

- 1. Sources, characteristics, representation
- 2. Mesh Processing
- 3. Contouring
- 4. Volume Rendering



- 5. Flow, Vector, Tensor Field Visualization
- 6. Application Case Studies







Computational Visualization: Flow, Vector, Tensor Field Visualization

Lecture 5

Copyright: Chandrajit Bajaj, CCV, University of Texas at Austin



Outline: Scalar and Vector Topology

I PROBLEM DOMAIN

Scalar Fields ---- restrictions to surfaces

Vector Fields ----- extensions to functions

on surfaces

- Different Grids ---- unstructured, curvilinear
- IN TOPOLOGY COMPUTATION
- •Crittical Points ---- nonlinear system solvers; multiplicity, index
- Local Analysis --- eigenvalues, newton factorization
- Streamlines ---- advection; dual stream surfaces



Interrogation of Axial Vortices

(with G. Blaisdell, Purdue University)

- How is the turbulent kinetic energy produced ?
- Are the production terms of kinetic energy related to the large helical vortices ?
- Do the helical vortices rotate, move axially or remain stationary?









Copyright: Chandrajit Bajaj, CCV, University of Texas at Austin



Line Integral Convolution

- Input vector field and texture (white noise)
- Output intensity value on each pixel
- Output image is highly correlated along the streamlines and uncorrelated in directions perpendicular to the streamlines





• Given a vector field \vec{v} , the streamline equation is

$$\frac{d\vec{\sigma}(s)}{ds} = \frac{d\vec{\sigma}}{dt}\frac{dt}{ds} = \frac{\vec{v}}{\|v\|}$$

Image intensity at a point \vec{x} is defined as

$$I(\vec{x}) = \int_{s_0 - L}^{s_0 + L} T(\vec{\sigma}(s)) k(s - s_0) ds$$

where T is the input white noise texture and $\vec{\sigma}(s)$ is the streamline with $\vec{\sigma}(s_0) = \vec{x}$ k(s) is a symmetric filter function.



LIC Example







Copyright: Chandrajit Bajaj, CCV, University of Texas at Austin



Double LIC

Original LIC

- Random white noise values averaged along a local streamline.
- Flow lines not delineated clearly
- Double LIC
 - Use the output image of first LIC as the input of the second LIC
 - Pixel intensities averaged along a previously integrated streamline.



Enhanced LIC





Vector Signature Function

- Given two scalar fields *U(x, y, z)*, *V(x, y, z)* defined over a 3D volume,
- Inner Volume $I(u, v) = Volume(U(x, y, z) \le u \text{ and } V(x, y, z) \le v)$
- Outer Volume

O(x, y) = Volume(U(x, y, z) > u and V(x, y, z) > v)),

where *u*, *v* are called isovalues of *U*, *V* respectively.

- (I, O) is a vector field defined over the domain {U, V}. It is called a vector signature function.
- Vector signature function can be other properties of the two scalar fields.



Vector Signature Functions:Use of Vector Field Topology

- Consider two scalar fields F(x), G(x)
- IF(w) is the region inside F(x)=w
- IG(w*) is the region inside G(x)=w*
- OF(w) is the region outside F(x)=w
- OG(w*) is the region outside G(x)=w*
- IV(w,w*) = Vol (IF(w) intersect IG(w*))
- OV(w,w*) = Vol (OF(w) intersect OG(w*))
- Vector field V defined in a 2D domain
- $V(w,w^*) = (IV,OV)$





electrostatic

vanderWaal

Visualization Signature Example







Glucose potentials (Electrostatics. vanderWaal)

Single LIC

Double LIC

Copyright: Chandrajit Bajaj, CCV, University of Texas at Austin



- I Detect stationary (critical) points
- II Classify critical points
- III Link with integral curves of vector field



3D Vector Field

 $\begin{bmatrix} f(x, y, z), & g(x, y, z), & h(x, y, z) \end{bmatrix}$

Critical Points



$$\begin{bmatrix} f(x,y,z) \\ g(x,y,z) \\ h(x,y,z) \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

Eigenstructure of

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} f_x & f_y & f_z \\ g_x & g_y & g_z \\ h_x & h_y & h_z \end{bmatrix}$$



Vector Local Topology (2D critical point classification)



R - - I=0 Copyright: Chandrajit Bajaj, CCV, University of Texas at Austin









Vortex core (red) computed by vector field topology. Green curves are streamlines computed near critical points on the vortex core.



Vector Field Visualization



An isocontour of vorticity magnitude is displayed with partial transparency. The red contour represents a region of positive production term of turbulent kinetic energy. The green contour represents negative production terms.



Challenge: Detect Vortices, Topology Changes in Cosmological Explosions









Scalar Field Topology



Pion Collision Simulation



Topology of wind speed in a climate model simulation



Close-up snapshot of above

Topology of a mathematical function reveals information hidden in contour display



Copyright: Chandrajit Bajaj, CCV, University of Texas at Austin



3D Scalar Field



Eigenstructure of

$$H_{f} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{xx} & f_{xy} & f_{xz} \\ f_{yx} & f_{yy} & f_{yz} \\ f_{zx} & f_{zy} & f_{zz} \end{bmatrix}$$

First Order Local Analysis at the Critical Points

Copyright: Chandrajit Bajaj, CCV, University of Texas at Austin









Runge-Kutte, 4th Order Integration

Single Stream Functions for 2D incompressible flow (Lagrange1781)



Dual Stream Functions for Solenoidal Vector Fields (zero divergence) [Yih1957]

 $p\vec{U} = \nabla f \times \nabla g$ and obey law of mass conservation

Ref: Dual Stream Functions, David Kenwright's Thesis1993, Auckland Also: Bajaj, Xu, <u>Spline Approx. of Algebraic Surface-Surface Intersection</u> <u>Curves</u>, Advances in Comp. Math, 1996 Copyright: Chandrajit Bajaj, CCV, University of Texas at Austin



Topology preserving, Finite Element Interpolants

O

 \Box



- = original vertex weight
- = weight determined by first partial derivatives
- = weight determined by mixed partial
 - = eight linear monotonicity
 constraints to be satisfied
 by mixed partial

Open question: What is the true interpolant which does not perturb the topology of the underlying data?



Vector Topology in 3D:

Topology Preserving Interpolation



- $\bullet =$ Original vertex weight
- \bigcirc =Weight determined by fist order partial derivatives
- $\Box = Weight determined by second order partial derivatives in two variables$
- \triangle =Weight determined by third order partial derivative in three variables

Open Questions : Field Topology preservation ?



Scalar Topology (3D structure enhancement)









Scalar Topology (Road Map for Data Exploration)





Scalar Topology

dynamic structure tracking





Feature Preserving Decimation of Pion Collision



51-timestep simulation of a Pion Collision (Original 12 data variables over a rectilinear mesh)

Pion Collison after 3% error-bounded decimation (all variables) Copyright, Chapdrait, Bajaj, CCV, University of Texas at Austin of 60% -85% per timestep



Topology Preserving Simplification (J. of Computers & Graphics, 1998)



Original Data (130050 tri)

7% Error (90% reduced, 13061 tri)

Data Courtesy Tsuyoshi Yamamoto and Hiroyuki Fukuda, Hokkaido University



Gated MRI Closeup



Original Data (130050 tri)

7% Error (90% reduced 13061 tri)



To wake up with coffee! Or Mineralwasser !!



Curves f(x,y) = 0, 2D Scalar Fields

- Critical Points are Singularities
- nodal, cuspidal, tacnodal, higher order
- II Classification of singularities
- simple: eigenvalues of Hessian of f
- higher order: Weierstrass preparation followed by
- a Newton factorization both using bivariate Hensel Lifting
- Ref: Abhyankar, Bajaj, Rational Parameteriz. Of Algebraic Curves, CAGD
- Bajaj, Xu, <u>Rational Spline Approx. of Plane Algebraic Curves</u>, J of Comp. Math, 1995,



Weierstrass, Newton and Pade'





Global Parameterization of Real Algebraic Curves

- Computation of Real Curve Genus
- Real Rational Parameterization of Real Curves of Genus 0

Ref:

- Abhyankar, Bajaj, Computer Aided Design 1987
- Recio, Sendra, Winkler
 J. of Symbolic Computation, 1995, 1997



Problem

Given a real algebraic plane curve \mathbf{C} : f(x, y) = 0 of degree d and of arbitrary genus, a box \mathbf{B} defined by $\{(x, y) | \alpha \le x \le \beta, \gamma \le y \le \delta\}$, an error bound $\varepsilon > 0$, and integers m, n with $m+n \le d$ construct a C^0 or C^1 continuous piecewise rational ε -approximation of all portions of \mathbf{C} within the given bounding box \mathbf{B} , with each rational function $\frac{P_i}{Q_i}$ of degree $P_i \le m$ and degree $Q_i \le n$.


- 1. Compute all intersections of **C** within the given bounding box **B** and also the tracing direction at these points. Next, compute all singular points S and x-extreme points T in the bounded plane curve C_B .
- 2. Compute a Newton factorization for each singular point (x_i, y_i) in **S** and obtain a power series representation for each analytic branch of **C** at (x_i, y_i) and given by

$$\begin{cases} X(s) = x_{i} + s^{k_{i}} \\ Y(S) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{j}^{(i)} s^{j}, \qquad c_{0}^{(i)} = y_{i} \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

Or

$$\begin{cases}
Y(s) = y_i + s_i^{k_i} \\
X(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{c}_j^{(i)} s_j^{j}, \quad \widetilde{c}_0^{(i)} = x_j^{k_i} \\
\widetilde{c}_0^{(i)} = x_j^{k_i} \\
Copyright: Chandrajit Bajaj, CCV, University of Centers as at Austing} \end{cases}$$



- 3. Without loss of generality, consider the case where the analytic branch at the singularity is of type (2.1). Compute $\frac{P_{mn}(s)}{Q_{mn}(s)}$ the (m,n) Padé approximation of Y(s). That is $\frac{P_{mn}(s)}{Q_{mn}(s)} - Y(s) = O(s^{m+n+1})$
- 4. Compute $\beta > 0$ a real number, corresponding to points $(\widetilde{x}_i = X(\beta), \widetilde{y}_i = Y(\beta))$ and $(\hat{x}_i = X(-\beta), \hat{y}_i = Y(-\beta))$ on the analytic branch of the original curve **C**, such that $\frac{P_{mn}(s)}{Q_{mn}(s)}$ is convergent for $s \in [-\beta, \beta]$



enter

5. Modify $P_{mn}(s)/Q_{mn}(s)$ to $\tilde{P}_{mn}(s)/\tilde{Q}_{mn}(s)$ is C^1 continuous approximation of Y(s) on $[0, \beta]$

6. Denote the set of all the points $(\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{y}_i), (\hat{x}_i, \hat{y}_i)$, the set *T* and the boundary points of C_B by *V*. The curve C_B yields a natural graph *G* having V, as its vertex set and the set of curve segments of C_B joining any pair of points in V, as its edge set E. Now starting from each (simple) point (x_i, y_i) in V we trace out the graph G, approximating each of its edges E by C^1 continuous piecewise rational curves.



Piecewise Rational Parameterization Approximations for decreasing error





Hensel Lifting

Consider f(x, y) of degree d and monic in y

$$f(x, y) = f_0(y) + f_1(y)x + \dots + f_k(y)x^k + \dots$$

We wish to compute real power series factors g(x,y) and h(x,y) = g(x,y)h(x,y)The technique of Hensel lifting allows one to reconstruct the power series factors

$$g(x, y) = g_0(y) + g_1(y)x + \dots + g_i(y)x^i + \dots$$
$$h(x, y) = h_0(y) + h_1(y)x + \dots + h_j(y)x^j + \dots$$

From initial factors $f(0, y) = f_0(y) = g_0(y)h_0(y)$



Weierstrass Factorization

A Weierstrass power series factorization is of the form

$$f(x, y) = g(x, y)\underbrace{(y^{e} + a_{e-1}(x)y^{e-1} + \dots + a_{0}(x))}_{h(x, y)}$$



Where g(x,y) is a unit power series

The Weierstrass preparation can be achieved via Hensel Lifting from the initial factors:

$$f(0, y) = f_0(y) = \underbrace{(a_0 + a_1 y + \cdots)}_{g_0(y)} \underbrace{y^e}_{h_{0(y)}},$$



Newton Factorization

Let



 $h(x, y) = y^{e} + a_{e-1}(x)y^{e-1} + \dots + a_{0}(x)$

Then it is possible to factor h(x,y) into real linear factors of the type using Hensel Lifting

 $h(x, y) = \prod_{i=1}^{e} (y - \eta_i((t)))$



- Critical Points (& Curves) are Singularities
- points: difficult ?
- curves: nodal, cuspidal, tacnodal, higher order
- II Classification of singularities
- simple points: eigenvalues of Hessian of f
- higher order points : ??
- Curves : some similar to singular points on curves. Others ?
- Ref: Bajaj, Xu, <u>Rational Spline Approx. of Real Algebraic</u> <u>Surfaces</u>, J of Symbolic Computation, 1997



Topology Preserving Spline Approximations and Display of Real Algebraic Surfaces



Copyright: Chandrajit Bajaj, CCV, University of Texas at Austin



Global Rational Parameterization for Real Algebraic Surfaces

- Computation of Arithmetic Genus, Second Plurigenus
- Parametrization of Real Surfaces satisfying Castelnuovo criterion for rationality ?
- Ref: J. Schicho, <u>Journal of Symbolic</u> <u>Computation</u> 1997



Global Rational Parameterization of Non-Singular Real Cubic Surfaces

Given two skew lines

$$(u) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1(u) \\ y_1(u) \\ z_1(u) \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $1_2(v) = \begin{bmatrix} x_2(v) \\ y_2(v) \\ z_2(v) \end{bmatrix}$

On the cubic surface f(x, y, z) = 0, the cubic rational parametrization formula for a point $\mathbf{p}(u, v)$ on the surface is

$$P(u,v) = \begin{bmatrix} x(u,v) \\ y(u,v) \\ z(u,v) \end{bmatrix} = \frac{al_1 + bl_2}{a+b} = \frac{a(u,v)l_1(u) + b(u,v)l_2(v)}{a(u,v) + b(u,v)}$$

where

$$a = a(u, v) = \nabla f(1_2(v)) \cdot [1_1(u) - 1_2(v)]$$

$$b = b(u, v) = \nabla f(1_1(v)) \cdot [1_1(u) - 1_2(v)] \cdot [1_1(u) - 1_2(v)]$$



Twenty-Seven Lines on a Cubic Surface



Copyright: Chandrajit Bajaj, CCV, University of Texas at Austin



Twenty-Seven Lines on the Cubic Surface

(Schlafi's double-six)

$$\hat{f}_2(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) + \hat{g}_3(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = 0$$

THEOREM 1. The polynomial $P_{81}(t)$ obtained by taking the resultant of \hat{f}_2 and \hat{g}_3 factors as $P_{81}(t) = P_{27}(t)[P_3(t)]^6[P_6(t)]^6$, where $P_3(t) = B''t^3 + F''t^2 + D''t + A''$ is the denominator of K(t) and L(t), and $P_{(6)}(t)$ is the numerator of $\overline{S}(t)(P_6(t) = \overline{S}(t)[P_3(t)^2])$

THEOREM 2. Simple real roots of $P_{27}(t) = 0$ correspond to real lines on the surface.



Ref: (ACM Transactions on Graphics'97)

Copyright: Chandrajit Bajaj, CCV, University of Texas at Austin



A rational parametric surface is defined by the three rational functions:

$$x(s,t) = \frac{X(s,t)}{W(s,t)}, \quad y(s,t) = \frac{Y(s,t)}{W(s,t)}, \quad z(s,t) = \frac{Z(s,t)}{W(s,t)}$$

- 1. **Domain poles**. The map yields a divide by zero at points satisfying W(s,t) = 0, the pole of the rational functions. These domain poles are algebraic curves.
- 2. Domain base points. The map is undefined at points satisfying X(s,t) = Y(s,t) = Z(s,t) = W(s,t) = 0. There are finitely many such points, called domain *base points*.
- 3. Surface singularities. The given rational surface may be singular.
- 4. **Complex parameter values**. Some real points of the surface are generated only by complex Parameter values.
- 5. **Infinite parameter values**. Some finite points of the surface are generated only by infinite parameter values.



THEOREM 1 Let (a,b) be a base point of multiplicity q. Then for any $m \in R$, the image of a domain point approaching (a,b) along a line of slope m is given by (X(m), Y(m), Z(m) = W(m) =

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{q} \left(\frac{\partial^{q} X}{\partial s^{q-i} \partial t^{i}}(a,b)\right) \binom{q}{i} m^{i} \cdots \sum_{i=0}^{q} \left(\frac{\partial^{q} X}{\partial s^{q-i} \partial t^{i}}(a,b)\right) \binom{q}{i} m^{i}\right)$$

COROLLARY 1 If the curves X(s,t) = 0, ..., W(s,t) = 0 share t tangent lines at (a,b), then the seam curve (X(m), Y(m), Z(m), W(m)) has degree q-t. In particular, if X(s,t) = 0have identical tangents at (a,b), then for all $m \in R$ the coordinates (X(m), ..., W(m))represent a single point.

Ref: Bajaj, Royappa <u>Triangulation and Display of Arbitrary Rational Surfaces</u> IEEE Visualization Conference 1994







- Trilinear Interpolant
- $F(x,y,z) = F_{000}(1-x)(1-y)(1-z)$ + $F_{001}(1-x)(1-y)z$ + $F_{010}(1-x)y(1-z)$ $+ F_{011}(1-x)yz$ + $F_{100}x(1-y)(1-z)$ $+ F_{101}x(1-y)z$ $+ F_{110}xy(1-z)$ $+ F_{111}xyz$





Saddle Points Computation

 Face Saddle Point F(x,y) = ax + by + cxy + d (bilinear interpolant) First derivatives : Fx = a + cy = 0, Fy = b + cx = 0Saddle point S = (-b/c, -a/c)**Body Saddle Point** F(x, y, z) = a + ex + cy + bz + gxy + fxz + dyz + hxyzFirst derivatives = 0: $F_x = e + gy + fz + hyz = 0$ $F_v = c + gx + dz + hxz = 0$ $F_z = b + fx + dy + hxy = 0$



Face and Body Saddle Points

We obtain saddle point :

$$x = -\frac{c+dz}{g+hz}$$

$$y = \frac{\frac{k_0 + k_1 z}{k_2}}{z = -\frac{g}{h} \pm \frac{\sqrt{g^2 k_1^2 - hk_1^{1/2} (ek_2 + gk_0)}}{h}}{k_0 = cf - bg, k_1 = df - bh, k_2 = dg - ch$$



Decision on Topology





- Resolving Internal Ambiguity
 - Ambiguity



-Decision based on the value of saddle points



- (i) s is black \rightarrow tunnel
- (ii) s is white \rightarrow two pieces



31 Cases











4.1.1









1



2

6.2

10.1.1





7.3

11



7.4.1

12.1.1

4.1.2









9



10.1.2













8

13.1



13.3





10.2







13.5.1



Reconstruction from Slices (Bajaj, Klin, J of GMIP'95)



(a)





(b)



Copyright: Chandrajit Bajaj, CCV, University of Texas at Austin



Topologically correct reconstruction from Volumetric Images





Use of weights for multiresolution samplings (Siggraph'95)





Connect-the-dots



Reconstruction from points is in general an underconstrained problem



Sampling a 1-manifold





Sampling and Reconstruction Theorem (IJCGA'96)

- Let B be a compact 1-manifold without boundary, and S a sampling. If
- 1. for any closed disk D of radius r, B. D is either (a) empty, (b) a single point, (c) an interval;
- 2. an open disk of radius r centered on B contains at least one point of S
- then the alpha-shape W , $= r^2$, is homeomorphic to B and

$$\max \min \| \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q} \| < \mathbf{r}$$

• p.W q B



Feature Preserving Reconstruction of CAD Models





Feature Surface Fitting





- Uses culbic A-patches (algebraic patches)
- C¹ continuity

- Sthamp features: (cormers,, sthamp curved edges))
- Singularities



Feature Preserving Model Reconstruction





Sharp Features Reconstruction:





Further reading

Line integral convolution

• Brian Cabral and Leith Casey Leedom, <u>Imaging vector fields using line integral convolution</u>, Proceedings of the 20th annual conference on Computer graphics, p 263-270

Fast Line Integral Convolution

- Detlev Stalling and Hans-Christian Hege, Fast and resolution independent line integral convolution, Proceedings of the 22nd annual ACM conference on Computer graphics, p 249-256
- Rainer Wegenkittl and Eduard Gröller, Fast oriented line integral convolution for vector field visualization via the Internet, Proceedings of the conference on Visualization '97, p 309-316

• Flow Fields

- Han-Wei Shen; Kao, D.L., <u>A new line integral convolution algorithm for visualizing time-varying flow fields</u>, Visualization and Computer Graphics, IEEE Transactions on , Volume: 4 Issue: 2 , April-June 1998, Pages 98 -108
- Interrante, V.; Grosch, C., <u>Strategies for effectively visualizing 3D flow with volume LIC</u>, Visualization '97., Proceedings , 1997 p 421 -424,
- Wegenkittl, R.; Groller, E.; Purgathofer, W., <u>Animating flow fields: rendering of oriented line integral convolution</u>, Computer Animation '97, 1997 p 15 -21
- Forssell, L.K.; Cohen, S.D., <u>Using line integral convolution for flow visualization: curvilinear grids, variable-speed animation, and unsteady flows</u>, Visualization and Computer Graphics, IEEE Transactions on , Volume: 1 Issue: 2 , June 1995 p 133 -141
- Forssell, L.K., Visualizing flow over curvilinear grid surfaces using line integral convolution, Visualization, 1994 p 240 247

• Others

- Han-Wei Shen, Christopher R. Johnson and Kwan-Liu Ma, <u>Visualizing vector fields using line integral convolution and dye</u> <u>advection</u>, Proceedings of the 1996 symposium on Volume visualization, Page 63
- Verma, V.; Kao, D.; Pang, A., PLIC: bridging the gap between streamlines and LIC, Visualization 1999, p 341 -541
- Gerik Scheuermann, Holger Burbach and Hans Hagen, <u>Visualizing planar vector fields with normal component using line integral convolution</u>, Proceedings of the conference on Visualization '99, Pages 255-261
- C. Rezk-Salama, P. Hastreiter, C. Teitzel and T. Ertl, Interactive exploration of volume line integral convolution based on 3Dtexture mapping, Proceedings of the conference on Visualization '99, Pages 233-240
- de Leeuw, W.; van Liere, R., Comparing LIC and spot noise, Visualization '98. Proceedings , 1998 p 359 -365
- Ming-Hoe Kiu; Banks, D.C., Multi-frequency noise for LIC, Visualization '96. Proceedings. , 1996 p 121 126



Further Reading

Bader((1990))- gradient fields in molecular systems.

Bergman, Rogowitz, and Treinish (1995) - enhancing colormapped visualiztion.

•Gershon((1992)) - Generalized Animation

Jones and Chen((1994)), Lorensen and Cline((1987)), Wilhelms and Gelder((1990)) - isocontours in 2d and 3d scalar data.

Fowler and Little (1979) - detecting ridges and valleys.

 McCommack, Gahegan, Roberts, Hogg, Hoyle((1993)) - detecting drainage patterns in geographic ternain.

Interrante, Fuchs, and Pizer (1995) - enhancing surface displays

-Ittoh and Koyamada ((1994)) - Isocontour extraction.

Helman and Hesselink((1991)), Globus ((1991)), Asimov ((1993)) - vector field topology.

•Yih ((1957)), Kenwright, Mallinson ((1992)) - dual stream functions



Further Reading

- J. Hultquist, Construcing stream surfaces in steady 3D vector fields, proceedings of visualization '92
- J. Van Wijk, implicit stream surfaces, proceedings of visualization '93
- Scheurman, Hagen, Rockwood, constructing degenerate vector fields, proceedings of visualization '97
- Bajaj, Pascucci, Schikore, scalar topology for enhanced visualization, proceedings of visualization '98




Computational Visualization

- 1. Sources, characteristics, representation
- 2. Mesh Processing
- 3. Contouring
- 4. Volume Rendering
- 5. Flow, Vector, Tensor Field Visualization
- 6. Application Case Studies



